DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 458 767 EC 308 715

AUTHOR Crimmins, Daniel B.; Durand, V. Mark; Theurer-Kaufman,

Karin; Everett, Jessica

TITLE Autism Program Quality Indicators: A Self-Review and Quality

Improvement Guide for Schools and Programs Serving Students

with Autism Spectrum Disorders.

INSTITUTION State Univ. of New York, Albany.

SPONS AGENCY New York State Education Dept., Albany. Office of Vocational

and Educational Services for Individuals with Disabilities.

PUB DATE 2001-08-00

NOTE 16p.; Developed by the New York Autism Network. Supported by

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) funds.

AVAILABLE FROM New York State Education Dept., Office of Vocational and

Educational Services for Individuals with Disabilities, One

Commerce Plaza, Rm. 1613, Albany, NY 12234. Tel:

518-474-2714; Fax: 518-474-8802; e-mail: VESIDSPE@mail.nysed.gov. For full text:

http://web.nysed.gov/vesid/.

PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom (055) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Accountability; *Autism; Behavior Problems; Curriculum;

Elementary Secondary Education; *Evaluation Criteria; Family Involvement; Inclusive Schools; *Individualized Education Programs; Personnel; Program Design; *Program Evaluation; Quality Control; *Self Evaluation (Groups); Standards;

Student Evaluation; *Teaching Methods; Transitional Programs

IDENTIFIERS New York

ABSTRACT

This publication provides Autism Program Quality Indicators (APQI), intended as a self-review and quality improvement guide for schools and programs serving students with autism spectrum disorders. The APQI were developed by the New York Autism Network at the request of the New York State Education Department. The APQI promote the goal that all students in New York State receive special education that meets high educational standards by providing benchmarks of quality programs that result in successful outcomes for students with autism. The APQI are a compilation of research-based components that have been linked to high quality and effective educational program for students with autism. The APQI uses a four-point rating system, plus a not applicable rating, to evaluate the following 14 areas: individual evaluation, development of the Individualized Education Program, curriculum, instructional activities, instructional methods, instructional environments, review and monitoring of progress and outcomes, family involvement and support, inclusion, planning the move from one setting to another, challenging behavior, community collaboration, personnel, and program evaluation. Each of the 14 areas is described by a single summary sentence, followed by more specific quality indicators. A summary table at the end of the scale allows programs to identify strengths and weaknesses. (CR)



Autism Program Quality Indicators



A Self-Review and Quality Improvement Guide for Schools and Programs Serving Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Levay

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



The University of the State of New York
THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
Office of Vocational and Educational Services
for Individuals with Disabilities
Albany, NY 12333 - www.nysed.gov





DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FOR VOCATIONAL AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES
Tel. (518) 474-2714
Fax (518) 474-8802

August 2001

Dear Parents and School Personnel:

Children with autism present unique and challenging needs that require specific focus in their educational programs. Autism is a pervasive developmental disability, and while there are common features of autism, there is also great variability in the disorder. There are different learning techniques and environments that will be more effective for certain children with autism than for others. Therefore, it is essential that school personnel and families work closely together to identify and develop quality programs to address the individual needs of each child.

This publication provides Autism Program Quality Indicators (APQI), intended as a self-review and quality improvement guide for schools and programs serving students with autism spectrum disorders. The APQI were developed by the New York Autism Network at the request of the New York State Education Department. The APQI promote the goal that all students in New York State receive special education that meets high educational standards by providing benchmarks of quality programs that result in successful outcomes for students with autism. The APQI are a compilation of research-based components that have been linked to high quality and effective educational programs for students with autism. The items on the APQI were derived from a variety of sources including a review of the scientific literature, professional experience and input and review by national experts in the field of autism. The APQI were also reviewed by representatives from the regional advisory groups to the New York Autism Network, including parents of students with autism, advocacy groups and school personnel.

I encourage schools to use the APQI to conduct periodic self-reviews of the programs and services provided to children with autism and to address quality improvement as needed. I also encourage parents of children with autism to use the APQI as benchmarks of quality programs for their children. The APQI will help parents to identify those features of educational supports and services that combine to result in effective programs, regardless of specific educational methodologies used.

If you have specific questions regarding the APQI, please direct your inquiries to the Special Education Policy Unit at 518-473-2878. If you would like to receive notification of our publications via e-mail, register at web.nysed.gov/vesid/register.htm. This publication is also available on the web at web.nysed.gov/vesid/sped/Autism/Autism.htm.

sincerely,

Lawrence C. Gloeckler



AUTISM PROGRAM QUALITY INDICATORS

A SELF-REVIEW AND QUALITY IMPROVEMENT GUIDE FOR SCHOOLS AND PROGRAMS SERVING STUDENTS WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS

August 2001
New York State Education Department



THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D. ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, Vice Chancellor, B.A., M.A., P.D. DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D. SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D. JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D. ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S. ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D. ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D. MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A. ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D. GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D. ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B. CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.F.S. JOSEPH E. BOWMAN, JR., B.A., M.L.S., M.A., M.Ed., Ed.D. LORDADIE A. CORTÉS VÁ ZOUEZ, B.A., M.B.A.	Hollis Staten Island New Rochelle Peru Tonawanda Huntington North Syracuse New York Brockport Belle Harbor Buffalo New York Hartsdale Albany
LORRAINE A. CORTÉS-VÁZQUEZ, B.A., M.P.A.	•

President of The University and Commissioner of Education RICHARD P. MILLS

Chief Operating Officer

RICHARD H. CATE

Deputy Commissioner for Vocational and Educational Services for Individuals with Disabilities

LAWRENCE C. GLOECKLER

Manager, Special Education Policy RITA D. LEVAY

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 530, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234. Requests for additional copies of this publication may be made in writing to the Office of Vocational and Educational Services for Individuals with Disabilities, Special Education Publications, Room 1624, One Commerce Plaza, Albany, New York 12234.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The development of the Autism Program Quality Indicators (APQI) was supported by federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) funds through a New York State Education Department grant to the University at Albany for the New York Autism Network. The State Education Department extends its appreciation to the following individuals who authored the APQI:

Daniel B. Crimmins, Ph.D. V. Mark Durand, Ph.D. Karin Theurer-Kaufman, Ph.D. Jessica Everett, Ph.D.

The following individuals provided input and review in the development of the APQI:

Edward G. Carr, Ph.D.
Shirley Cohen, Ph.D.
Michael Darcy
Anne F. Farrell, Ph.D.
Patricia J. Geary
Joanne Gerenser, Ph.D.
Mary Pat Hartnett
Edna Kleinman
Russell Kormann, Ph.D.
Chris Ponzio
Philip Smith, Ph.D.
Tristam Smith, Ph.D.
Patricia Towle, Ph.D.
Jamey Wolff

COPYRIGHT 2001 by the NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

The State Education Department grants permission to New York State public schools, approved private schools and nonprofit organizations to copy the APQI for use as a review and quality improvement guide. This material may not otherwise be reproduced in any form or by any means without the written permission of the New York State Education Department. For further information, contact the Special Education Policy Unit at the State Education Department at (518) 473-2878 or write to VESID, Special Education Policy Unit, Room 1624 One Commerce Plaza, Albany, New York 12234



AUTISM PROGRAM QUALITY INDICATORS

The Autism Program Quality Indicators (APQI) are a compilation of the best practices in **educating students with autism**, which were developed to serve as a means of guiding quality improvement activities for schools and programs serving children with autism in New York State.

Structure and Content of the APQI

The APQI are organized into the following 14 areas with the seven categories in the left-hand column relating to the specific aspects of the educational process for students, and the seven categories in the right-hand column referring more broadly to program characteristics and supports.

- Individual Evaluation
- Development of the Individualized Education Program (IEP)
- Curriculum
- Instructional Activities
- Instructional Methods
- Instructional Environments
- Review and Monitoring of Progress and Outcomes

- Family Involvement and Support
- Inclusion
- Planning the Move from One Setting to Another
- Challenging Behavior
- Community Collaboration
- Personnel
- Program Evaluation

Each of the 14 areas is described by a single summary sentence, followed by more specific "quality indicators." The items contained within the APQI do not reflect specific instructional strategies or theoretical approaches. Instead, they reflect methods that have consistently been found to be effective in improving learning in children with autism. Additionally, where items reflect practices that are broadly appropriate to educating all children (e.g., involving and supporting families in the educational process), the APQI focus on those aspects most important for educating students with autism.¹

How should the APQI be used?

The APQI were developed to apply to programs that serve children between the ages of 3 and 21, on the full range of the autism spectrum (including Asperger syndrome and Pervasive Developmental Disorder—Not Otherwise Specified {PDD-NOS}) and ability levels, and in all educational settings. Some items may, therefore, not apply to every program. The purpose of the APQI is to provide a tool for schools or programs to self-evaluate educational services as a whole rather than an evaluation of services provided to any specific child. A program would rate itself on the degree to which there is evidence supporting that a particular item or practice is in place. In this way, the APQI may serve as a quality improvement tool in which programs note relative strengths and weaknesses. Given the indicators cover such a wide range of educational practices, it is highly unlikely that any program would have clear evidence of every quality indicator.

Copyright 2001 by the New York State Education Department



¹ For a list of quality indicators on other topics such as Individual Evaluations, Pre-referral Processes, and Individualized Education Programs (IEP), contact VESID at 518-473-2878.

Development of the APQI

The APQI were developed over a one-year period by the New York Autism Network (NYAN) at the request of the Office of Vocational and Educational Services for Individuals with Disabilities (VESID) of the New York State Education Department. NYAN is a consortium of four regional centers with a shared focus of promoting effective educational approaches for students with autism. NYAN is funded by the New York State Education Department.

The items on the APQI were derived from a variety of sources including federal and State law and regulations, scientific evidence, and professional experience. The APQI were developed first by examining the professional literature to identify likely dimensions for the scale. The next step was to identify key quality components specific to autism in each of these areas. A preliminary draft of the APQI was field tested with the NYAN regional advisory groups. Information from the advisory groups was compiled into a working draft of the APQI (dated 11/6/00) that was shared with national experts and VESID representatives for their comments. These comments led to a significant re-focusing of the APQI on making it as autism specific as possible. A number of interim versions were shared with NYAN staff, representatives from programs and advocacy groups, national experts, and VESID staff. The resulting document (6/15/01) has been strengthened considerably by the input of these many people.

Scoring the APQI

The APQI uses a four-point rating system, plus a Not Applicable rating, as follows:

Score	Description
NA	Not applicable. The program is not responsible for this area.
0	There is no evidence of this indicator.
1	There is minimal to no evidence of this indicator, but clear evidence exists that the program is in the process of planning for implementation and/or staff development in this area.
2	There is some evidence of this indicator or there is clear evidence of the indicator for only a portion of students with autism.
3	This quality indicator is clearly evident for all students with autism.

These ratings can be applied to each of the items and a summary rating can be given to each; area. A summary table at the end of the scale allows programs to identify areas of relative strength and weakness.



AUTISM PROGRAM QUALITY INDICATORS

Score	Description
NA	Not applicable. The program is not responsible for this area.
0	There is no evidence of this indicator.
1	There is minimal evidence of this indicator, but clear evidence exists that the program is in the process of planning for implementation and/or staff development.
2	There is some evidence of this indicator or there is clear evidence of the indicator for only a portion of students with autism.
3	This quality indicator is clearly evident for all students with autism.

INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION: Thorough diagnostic, developmental, and	Score	Comments
educational assessments using a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach are	Score	Comments
used to identify students' strengths and needs.		
1) Evaluations are conducted by multidisciplinary teams made up of qualified	ľ	·
personnel who are familiar with the characteristics and response patterns of		
students with autism.	ļ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2) The medical and developmental history review factors specific to autism.		
3) Evaluations include the examination of the individual skills and strengths of		-
students with autism, as well as their needs.	<u> </u>	
4) Evaluations use a variety of measures and sources of information,		
including:		
 a) appropriate standardized, developmental, and observational methods, 	,	
b) autism-specific measures,		
c) parent and family input,		
d) review of recent progress and functional level.		
5) For both verbal and nonverbal students, speech and language evaluations		
use standardized measures, parental report, observation, and spontaneous		
ianguage samples to assess:		
a) receptive language,		
b) expressive language,		
c) speech production,		
d) communicative intent,		
e) pragmatics.		
6) Evaluation reports integrate results from all areas in ways that lead directly		
to programmatic recommendations for instruction.	<u> </u>	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
7) Evaluation reports are written in a meaningful, understandable manner.		
8) Evaluation reports are shared with the student (if appropriate), parents,		
educators, and other professionals who work collaboratively with the		<u> </u>
family		<u> </u>
Summary Rating for Individual Evaluation		
		<u> </u>

DEVELOPMENT OF THE INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM: The Committee on Preschool Special Education (CPSE) and the Committee on Special Education (CSE) use evaluation results, parent and family concerns, and present levels of performance in developing individualized education programs	Score	Comments
 (IEPs) to meet students' needs. The IEP identifies developmental, health, social-emotional, and behavioral needs. 		



a magadian sa ou sout have o

2)	While the IEP addresses a broad range of developmental and educational			
-	needs, it specifically includes the areas of:		11 11	en a state to the
	a) communication,			
	b) social interaction,	,		•
	c) behavior and emotional development,			
	d) play and use of leisure time.			
3)	Goals and objectives:			
	a) relate directly to the student's present level of performance and			
	identified needs,			
	b) reflect parental input and family concerns,			
	c) are observable and measurable, relate to long-term outcomes,			
	d) are selected to achieve long-term outcomes.			
4)	The IEP identifies program modifications, including environmental and			
	instructional adaptations and accommodations, that are needed to support			
	the student.			
5)	"Parent counseling and training" is indicated as a related service as			
	appropriate.		1	
7)	Augmentative and alternative communication systems are considered for			
	students with limited verbal abilities.			
8)	Opportunities for interaction with nondisabled peers are provided as			
	appropriate.			
Su	mmary Rating for Development of the IEP			

CURRICULUM: The program uses a curriculum that addresses the significant		
skill deficits of students with autism and relates to the New York State Learning	Score	Comments
Standards.	000.0	Comments
1) The curriculum contains a written statement of goals and philosophy from		
which instructional objectives, methods, and activities proceed.	<u> </u> ,	:54\
2) The curriculum focuses on maximizing independent functioning in home,		
school, vocational, and community settings.		
3) The curriculum is adapted to the different ages, abilities, and learning		
styles of students with autism.		
4) The curriculum emphasizes the development of:		11 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 E
a) attention to social stimuli,		
b) imitation skills,		
c) communication and language, d) social relationships,		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
e) symbolic play, imagination, and creativity, f) self-regulation,		
g) skills to meet the learning standards,		
h) vocational skills.	. 1. f	
5) With respect to communication, the curriculum emphasizes the		
development of a functional communication system for both verbal and	. ,	19. 1 % 1 TO A
nonverbal students with autism.		1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
6) With respect to social relationships, the curriculum emphasizes the		
development of social interaction skills with adults and peers for a range of		,
occasions and environments.	٧,	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
7) The curriculum focuses on the maintenance and generalization of learned		1.3.11 3. 10mm
skills to more complex environments.		
Summary Rating for Curriculum		to the state of th
		i izance



dev	STRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: The program provides a variety of relopmentally and functionally appropriate activities, experiences, and terials that engage students in meaningful learning.	Score	Comments
1)	Instructional activities: a) enhance response opportunities, b) are appealing and interesting, c) promote active engagement of the student, d) focus on basic skills before more complex skills, e) provide multiple opportunities for practicing skills identified on the IEP, f) are (whenever possible) embedded within ongoing and natural routines of home, school, vocational, and community settings.		
2)	Activities use a variety of instructional formats—one-to-one instruction, small group instruction, student-initiated interactions, teacher-directed interactions, play, peer-mediated instruction—based upon the skill to be taught and the individual needs of the student.		
3)	IEP goals and instructional methods are compatible and complementary when the program uses components of different intervention approaches.	•	
4)	Instructional activities are adapted to the range of ages, abilities, and learning styles of students with autism.		
5)	Daily instruction is provided to meet the individual communication needs of students with autism.		
Su	mmary Rating for Instructional Activities		

INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS : Teaching methods reflect the unique needs of students with autism and are varied depending on developmental appropriateness and individual strengths and needs.	Score	Comments
Instructional methods are adapted to the range of ages, abilities, and learning styles of students with autism.		
2) Instructional methods reflect empirically validated practices or solid evidence that demonstrates effectiveness over time.		
 The degree of structure and intensity of teaching are geared to the functional abilities of the student. 		
 4) Instructional methods: a) emphasize the use of naturally occurring reinforcers, b) promote high rates of successful performance, c) encourage communication and social interaction, d) encourage the spontaneous use of learned skills in different settings. 		
5) As instruction proceeds, an effort is made to teach students to cope with the distractions and disruptions that are an inevitable part of daily living.		. i e = -7
6) There is a clear plan showing methods for systematically promoting the maintenance and generalization of learned skills to new and different environments.		
Summary Rating for Instructional Methods		

INSTRUCTIONAL ENVIRONMENTS: Educational environments provide a structure that builds on a student's strengths while minimizing those factors that most interfere with learning.	Score	Comments
Environments are initially simplified to help students recognize relevant information.		



2)	When needed (particularly for younger students), classrooms have defined	,	The second secon
	areas that provide clear visual boundaries for specific activities.		
3)	Environmental supports (e.g., the use of visual schedules) are available		, ,
	that facilitate the student's ability to:		
	a) predict events and activities,	ļ.	
	b) anticipate change,		
	c) understand expectations.		
4)	Communication toward and with students:		
	a) is geared to their language abilities,		
	b) is clear and relevant,		·
	c) encourages dialogue (when appropriate), rather than being largely		
	directive.		<u>.</u>
Su	mmary Rating for Instructional Environments		
			'

REVIEW AND MONITORING OF PROGRESS AND OUTCOMES: The program uses a collaborative, ongoing, systematic process for assessing student progress.	Score	Comments
The program provides regular and ongoing assessment of each student's progress on his/her specific IEP goals and objectives. Student progress is supported and regioned by an advertised by a support of the advertised by a support of		
 Student progress is summarized and reviewed by an educational team. Students are assessed and the instructional program is refined when: a) target objectives have been achieved, b) progress is not observed after an appropriate trial period, c) target objectives have not been achieved after an appropriate trial period, d) there is an unexpected change in a student's behavior or health status, e) significant changes occur in the home, school, vocational, or 		
community setting. 4) The program routinely reports to the CPSE or CSE when there is a need to consider modifications to the IEP. Summary Rating for Review and Monitoring of Progress		**************************************
- and former in the second and former ing of Frogress		

			<u> </u>
	MILY INVOLVEMENT AND SUPPORT: Parents are recognized and valued full partners in the development and implementation of their children's IEPs.	Score	Comments
1)	Parents and family members are supported as active participants in all aspects of their child's ongoing evaluation and education to the extent of their interests, resources, and abilities.		
2)	Parents are informed about the range of educational and service options.		::
. 3)	The program demonstrates an awareness and respect for the culture, language, values, and parenting styles of the families they serve.		*
4)	The program makes available "parent counseling and training" services, which:		が かっと 再覧
	 a) provide parents with information about child development, b) assist parents to understand the needs of their child, c) foster coordination of efforts between school and home, d) support the family in behavior management, e) enable parents to acquire skills to support the implementation of their child's IEP. 		
5)	Parents are provided with opportunities to meet regularly with other parents and professionals in support groups.		227 (1.1)



Parents receive regular communication from the program regarding their child's progress.	
 Parents are assisted in accessing services from other agencies (when available and as appropriate) such as respite, in-home behavior support, home health care, transportation, etc. 	•
Summary Rating for Family Involvement and Support	

INCLUSION: Opportunities for interaction with nondisabled peers are incorporated into the program.	Score	Comments
 The program offers opportunities for interaction with nondisabled peers in both informal and planned interactions. 		
 In their contact with nondisabled peers, students are provided with instruction and support to maximize successful interactions. 		
 The program provides nondisabled peers with knowledge and support (e.g peer training) to facilitate and encourage spontaneous and meaningful interactions. 	••	
 Training and ongoing support are provided to the general education teachers and staff. 		, :
Summary Rating for Inclusion		

pro	ANNING THE MOVE FROM ONE SETTING TO ANOTHER: Parents and fessionals work collaboratively in planning transitions from one classroom, gram, or service delivery system to another.	Score	Comments
1)	All aspects of planning include the student (whenever appropriate), parents and other family members, current and receiving professionals, and other relevant individuals.		
2)	Transitional support services are provided by a special education teacher with a background in teaching students with autism.		<u> </u>
3)	Transition planning:a) begins while the student is in the current placement,b) provides the student and family with the opportunity to visit the new setting (i.e., meet teachers, view classrooms).		
4)	Planning integrates considerations of future placements (i.e., skills needed in the next classroom or school setting) with the student's current program.		<u> </u>
5)	Planning includes teacher preparation and other supports to ensure success of the student in the new classroom, school, or work site.		··
Su	mmary Rating for Planning the Move from One Setting to Another		

CHALLENGING BEHAVIOR: Positive behavior supports, based on a functional behavioral assessment (FBA), are used to address challenging behavior.	Score	Comments
The program has a school-wide behavioral system that: a) defines expectations for appropriate behavior in all instructional settings,		in the training of the second
 b) uses proactive approaches to managing behavior, c) has established strategies for crisis intervention, d) provides training for staff in recommended behavioral strategies. 		ing give Kina ang pang
		177 17



2)	A FBA is used to direct intervention planning for persistent challenging			*	
	behaviors.			13.	
3)	Multiple methods (e.g., direct observations, functional analysis, rating				
	scales, and interviews) are used in conducting the FBA.				
4)	The FBA identifies both immediate (e.g., request to perform a task) and				
	more distant (e.g., poor sleeping habits) factors that increase challenging				
	behaviors.				
5)	The FBA identifies one or more functions for the challenging behaviors.				
6)	Environmental accommodations and adaptations are used to prevent or				
	minimize occurrences of the problem behavior.				
7)	Instruction in alternative, appropriate skills (e.g., communication, social, or		_		
	self-regulatory skills) is routinely incorporated into behavior intervention				٠,
	plans				
8)_	Behavioral interventions are based on positive supports and strategies.				
9)	Behavior intervention plans focus on long-terms outcomes (e.g., making		•		
	new friends, participating in extracurricular activities).				
Su	mmary Rating for Challenging Behavior				

COMMUNITY COLLABORATION: The program links with community agencies to assist families in accessing supports and services needed by students with autism.	Score	Comments
1) The program develops links with different community agencies that provide the comprehensive services often needed by students with autism.		
 The program assists parents in defining their child's outside-of-school needs, such as respite, in-home behavior support, home health care, transportation, etc. 		
3) Parents are assisted in accessing services from community agencies.		
Summary Rating for Community Collaboration		٠.

PERSONNEL: Teachers, teacher aides and assistants, related service providers, school psychologists, administrators, and support staff are knowledgeable and skilled related to the education of students with autism.	Score	Comments
1) Staff are knowledgeable and skilled in the areas of expertise specific to autism, including: a) characteristics of autism, b) familiarity with assessment methods, c) developing IEPs to meet the unique needs of each student, d) curriculum, environmental adaptations and accommodations, and		
instructional methods, e) strategies to improve communication and social interaction skills, f) classroom and individual behavior management techniques.		
 Staff participate in continuing professional development (e.g., consultation, workshops, conferences) designed to further develop their knowledge and skills. 	i	
 Staff are available in a ratio sufficient to provide the support necessary to accomplish IEP goals. 		
4) Teachers and related service providers have access to students' IEPs and are informed of their responsibilities for implementation.	5:	



5)	Paraprofessionals receive specific and direct instruction and supervision regarding their IEP responsibilities to the student.	
6)	Ongoing support and technical assistance are available to resolve concerns related to learning and behavior.	•
Su	mmary Rating for Personnel	
	·	

imp	OGRAM EVALUATION : Systematic examination of program elementation and impact is conducted, including the aggregation of individual dent outcomes and consumer satisfaction.	Score	Comments
1)	The program incorporates evaluation systems that assess program-wide effectiveness in the areas of: a) students' progress toward mastery of IEP goals, b) student performance on State and districtwide tests (including, as appropriate, student performance on the State Alternate Assessment) c) students' generalization of skills, d) student progress toward long-term outcomes.		
2)	The program evaluates short-term (e.g., weekly or bi-weekly), intermediate (e.g., quarterly), and long-term (e.g., yearly) changes in student progress.		
3)	Parents regularly receive feedback on their child's progress toward meeting IEP goals and objectives.		
4)	Program evaluation includes measures of consumer satisfaction with services.		,
5)	Information obtained from program evaluation is used for program improvement.		
Su	mmary Rating for Program Evaluation		·

t,		Summary Rating
Individual Evaluation		
Development of the Individualized Educat	ion Program	
Curriculum		
Instructional Activities	· · · · · ·	<u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>
Instructional Methods		
Instructional Environments	·	<u> </u>
Review and Monitoring of Progress and O	utcomes	<u> 1 1 </u>
Family Involvement and Support	· · · · · ·	<u> </u>
Inclusion		
Community Collaboration		<u> </u>
Planning the Move from One Setting to A	nother	
Challenging Behavior	5 5.	<u> </u>
Personnel		
Program Evaluation	the of a said of	<u></u>
	4.4	1 10

function (Depth and Depth and Oct.)

•

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT ALBANY, NEW YORK 12234

NON-PROFIT ORG. U.S. POSTAGE

PAID

Albany, N.Y. Permit No. 293





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	· :	
Title: Autism Program Que Improvement Guide for	ality Indicators: A Se	A-Review and Quality
Improvement Guide for	Schools + Programs	
Author(s): NYS Education De	OT & NEW YORK AUTIS	sm Network
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
		August 2001
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Res and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC reproduction release is granted, one of the following	imely and significant materials of interest to the edu- cources in Education (RIE), are usually made availal C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit ng notices is affixed to the document.	ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, t is given to the source of each document, and, if
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
sample	Sample	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	2B
Level 1 †	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality per produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce	
as indicated above. Reproduction from	Drinted Name/D	ons other than ERIC employees and its system production by libraries and other service agencies

Full Text Provided by

(over)

NYSEDIGOV

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Price:		_
	PYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDE eld by someone other than the addressee, please provide the approp	
Name:		
Address:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Acquisitions Coordinator ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education 1110 North Glebe Road Suite 300 Arlington VA 22201-5704

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

1100 West Street, 2nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

38 (Rev. 9/97)